	1		
1 2 3	BRIAN S. INAMINE (State Bar No. 117893)  Brian.Inamine@leclairryan.com  VICKIE V. GRASU (State Bar No. 224278)  vickie.grasu@leclairryan.com  LECLAIRRYAN, LLP  725 South Figueroa Street, Suite 350  Los Angeles, CA 90017-5455		
5	Telephone: (213) 488-0503 Telefax: (213) 624-3755		
6	Attorneys for Defendants		
7	BURNT ENDS, LLC, RODERICK C. FLINT AND JOSHUA M. FINE		
8			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	R. BOWMAN and D. MOUSSEAU,	Case No: 2:17-cv-05782-RGK-SS	
12	individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated	Assigned to Hon. R. Gary Klausner and Magistrate Judge Suzanne H. Segal	
13	Plaintiffs,	Magistrate Juage Suzantie II. Segai	
14	V.	DEFENDANTS RODERICK C. FLINT AND JOSHUA M. FINE'S	
15 16	BURNT ENDS, LLC, a California	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS	
	Limited Liability Company; RODERICK C. FLINT, an individual;	Datas January 22, 2019	
17 18	JOSHUA M. FINE, an individual; and DOE 1 through and including DOE 10,	Date: January 22, 2018 Time: 9:00 a.m. Judge: Honorable R. Gary Klausner	
19	Defendants.	Ctrm: 850	
20	Defendants.		
21		Complaint Fileds Assessed 4 2017	
22		Complaint Filed: August 4, 2017	
23			
24	Defendants RODERICK C. FLINT and JOSHUA M. FINE ("Individual		
25	Defendants") Motion to Dismiss pursuant to Rules 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of		
26	Civil Procedure, came on regularly for hearing on January 22, 2018 at 9:00 a.m. in		
27	Courtroom 850, at 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, 90012, before the		
28	Honorable R. Gary Klausner.		
	DEFENDANTS RODERICK C. FLINT AND JOSHUA M. FINE'S		
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS		

GOOD CAUSE HAVING BEEN SHOWN THEREFORE, and based upon Individual Defendants' Notice of Motion, Motion to Dismiss, Memorandum of Points and Authorities in support thereof, and the Declaration in support thereof, all attached exhibits, and hearing oral argument in support thereof, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. Individual Defendants' Motion to Dismiss all allegations and counts against Individual Defendants for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted is hereby GRANTED.
- 2. Plaintiff's First Claim for Relief (waiting time penalties pursuant to Labor Code ¶ 203) is dismissed against Individual Defendants for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.
- 3. Plaintiff's Second Claim for Relief (waiting time penalties pursuant to Labor Code ¶ 203.1) is dismissed against Individual Defendants for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.
- 4. Plaintiff's Third Claim for Relief (unpaid overtime wages and unpaid minimum wages pursuant to California Labor Code ¶¶ 510 and 1194) is dismissed against Individual Defendants for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.
- 5. Plaintiff's Fourth Claim for Relief (failure to provide accurate wage statements pursuant to California Labor Code ¶ 226(a)) is dismissed against Individual Defendants for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.
- 6. Plaintiff's Seventh Claim for Relief (unpaid overtime pursuant to Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. ¶ 201 et seq.) is dismissed against Individual Defendants for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

Case 2 17-cv-05782-RGK-SS Document 20-2 Filed 11/28/17 Page 3 of 3 Page ID #:242